arXiv:hep-ph/0003139v3 27 Apr 2000

March, 2000
OU-HET 343
hep-ph/0003139

Large CP Violation, Large Mixings of Neutrinos and the Z_3 Symmetry

Takahiro Miura*, Eiichi Takasugi† and Masaki Yoshimura‡

Department of Physics, Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Abstract

We present neutrino mass matrices which predict the atmospheric neutrino mixing to be almost maximal, $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} > 0.999$, as well as the large solar neutrino mixing, $8/9 > \sin^2 2\theta_{sol} > 0.87$, and the large CP violation (the CP violation phase in the standard form is maximal $\delta = \pi/2$), based on the Z_3 symmetry.

---

*e-mail address: miura@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

†e-mail address: takasugi@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

‡e-mail address: masaki@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The observation of the atmospheric neutrino by Super-Kamiokande[1] has shown the existence of the neutrino masses and the neutrino mixings. In particular, the data[1] show that the mixing between ν_μ and ν_τ is favored and

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} \sim 1 \ , \ \ \Delta^2_{atm} \equiv |m_3^2 - m_2^2| \sim 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^2 \ . \tag{1}$$

The solar neutrino problem is now considered to be due to the ν_e and ν_μ oscillation, but the information on masses and mixing angles is ambiguous. Now four solutions are possible[2]. The another crucial information is given by CHOOZ group[3] that gives

$$|(V_{MNS})_{13}| < 0.16 \ , \tag{2}$$

provided that $\Delta^2_{atm} = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2$. Here, V_{MNS} is the Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (MNS)[4] neutrino mixing matrix.

If we interpret these information in the three generation mixing, we find

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} \simeq \sin^2 2\theta_{23} c_{13}^4 \tag{3}$$

and

$$|s_{13}| < 0.16 \ , \tag{4}$$

where θ_{ij} is the mixing angle between the i-th and the j-th mass eigenstate neutrinos, $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$, and we used the standard parameterization of mixing matrix[5] given in Appendix A.

If we obtain the large $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm}$ with $|s_{13}| < 0.16$, we need $|s_{23}| \sim |c_{23}| \sim 1/\sqrt{2}$. Now we face the following questions:

(1) Why $|s_{23}| \sim |c_{23}| \sim 1/\sqrt{2}$?

(2) Why s_{13} is so small?

(3) How large is the CP violation phase δ?

In order to answer these questions, various mixing schemes have been proposed. Among them, the bi-maximal mixing matrix[6], $(V_{MNS})_{bi}$, and the democratic one[7],

$(V_{MNS})_{demo}$, predict the large mixing for both the solar and the atmospheric neutrino mixings,

$$(V_{MNS})_{bi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \ (V_{MNS})_{demo} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The bi-maximal mixing matrix predicts $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1$, and the democratic mixing matrix predicts $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 8/9$.

In our previous paper[8], we proposed a new type of the neutrino mixing matrix based on the democratic-type mass matrix which is derived from the Z_3 invariant Lagrangian. This model predicts the most needed relation $|s_{23}| = |c_{23}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and in addition $\delta = \pi/2$, the largest CP violation phase in the standard parameterization. In this paper, we examine the democratic-type mass matrix further and explore the possibility of constructing more predictive models.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec.2, we briefly review the democratic-type mass matrix and its predictions. We explain the origin of the mass matrix based on Z_3 symmetry, by using the see-saw mechanism. In Sec.3, we consider the one Higgs doublet case and introduce the Z_3 symmetry breaking terms. The predictions are discussed in detail. The summary is given in Sec.4.

2 The democratic-type mass matrix and the Z_3 symmetry

In this section, we present another view of the democratic-type mass matrix and its origin. Throughout of this paper, we consider the neutrino mass matrix in the charged lepton mass eigenstate basis.

(a) The mixing matrix derived from the deformation from the tri-maximal mixing matrix

The tri-maximal mixing matrix was discussed extensively by many authors[9] and is defined by

$$(V_{MNS})_{tri} \equiv V_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \\ \omega^2 & \omega & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{6}$$

where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/3}$ or $\omega = e^{i4\pi/3}$, i.e., $\omega^3 = 1$. This model predicts $|(V_{MNS})_{13}| = 1/\sqrt{3}$ which conflicts with the CHOOZ data[3], but it has an interesting property that it predicts the maximal value of the CP violation phase in the standard form, $\delta = \pi/2$, and the maximal CP violation, that is, the maximal value of the rephasing invariant Jarlskog parameter, $|J_{CP}|_{tri} = 1/6\sqrt{3}$.

In order to remedy the deficit of the model, it may be interesting to consider the deformation from the tri-maximal mixing matrix by an orthogonal matrix O,

$$V = V_T O \ . \tag{7}$$

The orthogonal matrix contains three angles so that naively we expect that the CP violation phase is expressed by three angles in a complicated expression. Contrarily to this expectation, we found[8]

$$|\sin\theta_{23}| = |\cos\theta_{23}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{8}$$

and two Majorana phases are fixed uniquely independent of angle parameters in O. Explicitly, we found that the neutrino mixing matrix is

$$V_{MNS} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & -is_{13} \\ -\frac{s_{12}-ic_{12}s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_{12}+is_{12}s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{c_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{s_{12}+ic_{12}s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_{12}-is_{12}s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{c_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} . \tag{9}$$

The diagonal matrix diag$(1, 1, i)$ represents the Majorana phase matrix which is relevant to the purely lepton number violating processes such as the neutrinoless double beta decay[10]. One parameter out of three parameters in O is converted to the phase which is absorbed by the redefinition of charged leptons. The brief derivation is given in Appendix A.

If we use the CHOOZ constraint, $|(V_{MNS})_{13}| = |s_{13}| < 0.16$, the model predicts

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 2\theta_{atm} &= 4|(V_{MNS})_{23}|^2(1-|(V_{MNS})_{23}|^2) \\ &= 1 - s_{13}^4 > 0.999 \ , \\ \frac{|J_{CP}|_{our\ model}}{|J_{CP}|_{max}} &= \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}|\sin 2\theta_{12} s_{13} c_{13}^2| \ , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} \simeq \sin^2 2\theta_{sol}$ is determined by the solar neutrino data. Therefore, in our model, the size of the CP violation is determined by the solar neutrino mixing angle and $|s_{13}|$ which has been bounded by the CHOOZ data. If we take the large mixing solution for the solar neutrino problem, $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 0.9$ and the largest allowed value for s_{13}, $|s_{13}| = 0.16$, our model predicts $|J_{CP}|_{our\ model} = 0.38|J_{CP}|_{max}$.

(b) The possible origin of the mixing matrix in the form of $V = V_T O$

Let us consider what kind of mass matrix leads to $V = V_T O$. In order to clarify the structure, we change the flavor eigenstate basis to the one which is obtained by transforming by V_T (hereafter we call it as the ψ basis),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix} = V_T^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ \nu_{\mu L} \\ \nu_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ \nu_{\mu L} \\ \nu_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{11}$$

The relation $V = V_T O$ implies that when we look at the mass matrix in the ψ basis, the neutrino mass matrix should be a real symmetric matrix. Thus, we may parameterize

$$\tilde{m}_\nu = V_T^T m_\nu V_T = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^0 + \tilde{m}_1 & \tilde{m}_3 & \tilde{m}_2 \\ \tilde{m}_3 & m_2^0 + \tilde{m}_2 & \tilde{m}_1 \\ \tilde{m}_2 & \tilde{m}_1 & m_3^0 + \tilde{m}_3 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{12}$$

with the real parameters, m_i^0 and $\tilde{m}_i$. Here, $\tilde{m}_\nu$ is the mass matrix in the ψ basis and m_ν is the one in the flavor eigenstate basis. If $\tilde{m}_\nu$ is a real symmetric matrix, then it is diagonalized by the orthogonal matrix O and thus the mixing matrix becomes $V_T O$.

By inverting, we obtain the neutrino mass matrix m_ν as

$$m_\nu = \frac{m_1^0}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & \omega & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_2^0}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & \omega \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_3^0}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+\tilde{m}_1\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&\omega&0\\0&0&\omega^2\end{pmatrix}+\tilde{m}_2\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&\omega^2&0\\0&0&\omega\end{pmatrix}+\tilde{m}_3\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{13}$$

which we called the democratic-type mass matrix[8].

(c) The Z_3 symmetric dimension five Lagrangian

We analyze the Lagrangian which gives the democratic-type neutrino mass matrix. From the transformation in Eq.(11), we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\ell_e+\omega^2\ell_\mu+\omega\ell_\tau)\ ,\\ \Psi_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\ell_e+\omega\ell_\mu+\omega^2\ell_\tau)\ ,\\ \Psi_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\ell_e+\ell_\mu+\ell_\tau)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where ℓ_i is the left-handed lepton doublet defined by, say, $\ell_e = (\nu_{eL}, e_L)^T$. With the definition, $\Psi_i = (\psi_i, e_i)^T$, the above relation is interpreted as the transformation from the flavor eigenstate basis to the ψ basis.

The fields Ψ_i behave as irreducible representations of Z_3 symmetry under the permutation of ℓ_e, ℓ_μ and ℓ_τ,

$$\Psi_1 \to \omega\Psi_1\ ,\quad \Psi_2 \to \omega^2\Psi_2\ ,\quad \Psi_3 \to \Psi_3\ . \tag{15}$$

If we introduce two Higgs doublets that behave as

$$H_1 \to \omega^2 H_1\ ,\ H_2 \to \omega H_2\ , \tag{16}$$

then we can construct the Z_3 invariant dimension five effective Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm y} &= -\Bigg((m_1^0+\tilde{m}_1)\overline{(\Psi_1)^C}\Psi_1\frac{H_1H_1}{u_1^2}+(m_2^0+\tilde{m}_2)\overline{(\Psi_2)^C}\Psi_2\frac{H_2H_2}{u_2^2}\\ &\quad+(m_3^0+\tilde{m}_3)\overline{(\Psi_3)^C}\Psi_3\frac{H_1H_2}{u_1u_2}\Bigg)\\ &\quad-2\left(\tilde{m}_1\overline{(\Psi_2)^C}\Psi_3\frac{H_1H_1}{u_1^2}+\tilde{m}_2\overline{(\Psi_1)^C}\Psi_3\frac{H_2H_2}{u_2^2}+\tilde{m}_3\overline{(\Psi_1)^C}\Psi_2\frac{H_1H_2}{u_1u_2}\right)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where u_i is the vacuum expectation value of the neutral component of H_i. After the Higgs fields acquire the vacuum expectation values, the neutrino mass matrix in the ψ basis defined by Eq.(12) is obtained.

(d) The Z_3 symmetric Lagrangian and the see-saw mechanism

In addition to the Ψ_i and two Higgs doublets, H_1 and H_2, we introduce the right-handed neutrinos, ν_{eR}, $\nu_{\mu R}$ and $\nu_{\tau R}$ and one more Higgs H_3 which behave under the Z_3 as

$$\nu_{eR} \to \nu_{eR} \ , \ \nu_{\mu R} \to \omega \nu_{\mu R} \ , \ \nu_{\tau R} \to \omega^2 \nu_{\tau R} \ , \tag{18}$$

$$H_3 \to H_3 \ . \tag{19}$$

Now the Z_3 invariant Yukawa interaction and the Majorana mass term for the right-handed neutrinos are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_D \ = \ & -(a\overline{\nu_{eR}}\frac{H_1}{u_1} + b\overline{\nu_{\tau R}}\frac{H_2}{u_2} + d'\overline{\nu_{\mu R}}\frac{H_3}{u_3})\Psi_1 \\ & -(c\overline{\nu_{\mu R}}\frac{H_1}{u_1} + d\overline{\nu_{eR}}\frac{H_2}{u_2} + f'\overline{\nu_{\tau R}}\frac{H_3}{u_3})\Psi_2 \\ & -(e\overline{\nu_{\tau R}}\frac{H_1}{u_1} + f\overline{\nu_{\mu R}}\frac{H_2}{u_2} + b'\overline{\nu_{eR}}\frac{H_3}{u_3})\Psi_3 + \text{h.c.} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where u_i is the vacuum expectation value of H_i and

$$\mathcal{L}_R = -M\overline{(\nu_{eR})^C}\nu_{eR} - M'\left(\overline{(\nu_{\mu R})^C}\nu_{\tau R} + \overline{(\nu_{\tau R})^C}\nu_{\mu R}\right) \ . \tag{21}$$

After the Higgs fields acquire the vacuum expectation values, the Dirac mass term, m_D in the ψ basis and Majorana mass term M_R for ν_R are given by

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} a & d & b' \\ d' & c & f \\ b & f' & e \end{pmatrix} \ , \ M_R = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M' \\ 0 & M' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{22}$$

By the see-saw mechanism, the neutrino mass matrix for the left-handed neutrinos in the ψ basis is given by

$$\tilde{m}_\nu = -m_D^T M_R^{-1} m_D = -\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^2}{M} + \frac{2bd'}{M'} & \frac{ad}{M} + \frac{bc}{M'} + \frac{d'f'}{M'} & \frac{ab'}{M} + \frac{bf}{M'} + \frac{d'e}{M'} \\ \frac{ad}{M} + \frac{bc}{M'} + \frac{d'f'}{M'} & \frac{d^2}{M} + \frac{2cf'}{M'} & \frac{b'd}{M} + \frac{ce}{M'} + \frac{ff'}{M'} \\ \frac{ab'}{M} + \frac{bf}{M'} + \frac{d'e}{M'} & \frac{b'd}{M} + \frac{ce}{M'} + \frac{ff'}{M'} & \frac{b'^2}{M} + \frac{2fe}{M'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

If we parameterize

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1^0+\tilde{m}_1 &= -\left(\frac{a^2}{M}+2\frac{bd'}{M'}\right) & \tilde{m}_1 &= -\left(\frac{b'd}{M}+\frac{ce}{M'}+\frac{ff'}{M}\right) \\
m_2^0+\tilde{m}_2 &= -\left(\frac{d^2}{M}+2\frac{cf'}{M'}\right) & \tilde{m}_2 &= -\left(\frac{ab'}{M}+\frac{bf}{M'}+\frac{d'e}{M'}\right) \\
m_3^0+\tilde{m}_3 &= -\left(\frac{b'^2}{M}+2\frac{fe}{M'}\right) & \tilde{m}_3 &= -\left(\frac{ad}{M}+\frac{bc}{M'}+\frac{d'f'}{M'}\right) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

we obtain the neutrino mass matrix $\tilde{m}_\nu$ in the ψ basis given in Eq.(12). The ansatz is that all parameters a, b, c, d, e, f, b', d', f', M and M' are real. The Lagrangian in Eqs.(20) and (21) are the most general one to derive the democratic mass matrix, although it contains redundant parameters.

The minimal model is those which contain only two Higgs doublets, say, H_1 and H_2, where $\tilde{m}_\nu$ contains six independent parameters to specify m_i^0 and $\tilde{m}_i$.

3 A restricted model -One Higgs case-

In order to construct the more predictive mass matrix, we try to reduce the number of parameters in the democratic-type mass matrix, m_i^0 and $\tilde{m}_i$. Here, we consider the possibility of one Higgs model.

If we keep only $H_1 = H$ with $\langle H\rangle = u$ in the Lagrangian (20), then

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -\frac{1}{u}\left(a\overline{\nu_e}_R H\Psi_1 + c\overline{\nu_\mu}_R H\Psi_2 + e\overline{\nu_\tau}_R H\Psi_3\right) + \text{h.c.} \ . \tag{25}$$

Then, the Dirac mass term in the ψ basis is $m_D = \mathrm{diag}(a, c, e)$. After the see-saw mechanism, the left-handed neutrino mass matrix in the ψ basis is

$$\tilde{m}_\nu = -m_D^T M_R^{-1} m_D = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^0+\tilde{m}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{m}_1 \\ 0 & \tilde{m}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{26}$$

In the flavor eigenstate basis

$$m_\nu = V_T^* \tilde{m}_\nu V_T^\dagger = \frac{m_1^0}{3}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & \omega & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{m}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{27}$$

From Eq.(26), we see that the neutrino mass matrix m_ν is diagonalized by

$$V_1 = V_T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{28}$$

This mixing scheme is interesting, although this model is unrealistic because it predicts the degenerate mass, $m_2 = -m_3$.

(a) The model with the Z_3 symmetry breaking terms

We introduce the Z_3 symmetry breaking terms by assuming that they respect the Z_2 symmetry which is defined by

$$\Psi_1 \to -\Psi_1 \ , \ \ \nu_{eR} \to -\nu_{eR} \ , \tag{29}$$

and all other fields are unchanged. Then, the Z_2 invariant Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{SB} \ &= \ -\frac{1}{u}(a\overline{\nu_{e\mathrm{R}}}H\Psi_1 + c\overline{\nu_{\mu\mathrm{R}}}H\Psi_2 + e\overline{\nu_{\tau\mathrm{R}}}H\Psi_3) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{u}(f\overline{\nu_{\mu\mathrm{R}}}H\Psi_3 + f'\overline{\nu_{\tau\mathrm{R}}}H\Psi_2) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

together with the Majorana mass term for the right-handed neutrinos given in Eq.(21). This model gives the mass matrix in the ψ basis in Eq.(12) with non-zero m_1^0, $\tilde{m}_1$, m_2^0 and m_3^0. In other words, in the flavor eigenstate basis, it is

$$\begin{aligned} m_\nu \ &= \ \frac{m_1^0}{3}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & \omega & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{m}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{m_2^0}{3}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & \omega \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_3^0}{3}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In the new basis which is obtained from the transformation by V_1 in Eq.(28), the mass matrix is

$$V_1^T m_\nu V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^0 + \tilde{m}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{m}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(m_3^0 + m_2^0) & \frac{1}{2}(m_3^0 - m_2^0) \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(m_3^0 - m_2^0) & -\tilde{m}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(m_3^0 + m_2^0) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{32}$$

Thus, the mixing matrix which diagonalize m_ν is given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c' & -s' \\ 0 & s' & c' \end{pmatrix} , \tag{33}$$

where $c' = \cos\theta'$ and $s' = \sin\theta'$ and

$$\tan\theta' = \frac{\frac{m_3^0 - m_2^0}{2}}{\tilde{m}_1 + \sqrt{\tilde{m}_1^2 + \left(\frac{m_3^0 - m_2^0}{2}\right)^2}} , \tag{34}$$

and neutrino masses are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= m_1^0 + \tilde{m}_1 , \\ m_2 &= \frac{m_3^0 + m_2^0}{2} + \sqrt{\tilde{m}_1^2 + \left(\frac{m_3^0 - m_2^0}{2}\right)^2} , \\ m_3 &= \frac{m_3^0 + m_2^0}{2} - \sqrt{\tilde{m}_1^2 + \left(\frac{m_3^0 - m_2^0}{2}\right)^2} . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Here we take the convention, $\tilde{m}_1 > 0$, and also consider that $\tilde{m}_1 > |(m_3^0 + m_2^0)/2|$, $|(m_3^0 - m_2^0)/2|$, because m_3^0 and m_2^0 are the Z_3 symmetry breaking parameters. Then, we find $m_2 > 0$ and $m_3 < 0$. The parameter $\tilde{m}_1$ controls the average size of neutrino masses, and $m_3^0 + m_2^0$ does the mass splitting between m_2 and m_3, and the parameter $m_3^0 - m_2^0$ does the size of $(V_{MNS})_{13}$.

The MNS mixing matrix is explicitly given by

$$V_{MNS} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}c' & i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}s' \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(c' + i\sqrt{3}s') & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\sqrt{3}c' + is') \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(c' - i\sqrt{3}s') & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\sqrt{3}c' - is') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} . \tag{36}$$

It should be noted that the model predicts $\delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and the angle θ_{12} which is relevant to the solar neutrino mixing. We have

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = \frac{8}{9}c'^2 , \ \ \sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1 - \frac{4}{9}s'^4 . \tag{37}$$

If we impose the CHOOZ bound, $|\sqrt{2/3}s'| < 0.16$, we have

$$\frac{8}{9} > \sin^2 2\theta_{sol} > 0.87 , \quad \sin^2 2\theta_{atm} > 0.999 . \tag{38}$$

Below, we consider the special cases.

(b) Some limiting cases

(b-1) The model with $m_3^0 = m_2^0$

This model is realized by imposing the invariance under the permutation in addition to Z_2 symmetry as

$$\nu_{\mu R} \Longleftrightarrow \nu_{\tau R} \ , \ \ \Psi_2 \Longleftrightarrow \Psi_3 \ . \tag{39}$$

Then, the parameters in Eq.(30) are restricted as $f = f'$ and $c = e$, and the condition $m_3^0 = m_2^0$ is realized. From Eq.(34), we have $\theta' = 0$ and neutrino masses are

$$m_1 = m_1^0 + \tilde{m}_1 \ , \ \ m_2 = m_3^0 + \tilde{m}_1 \ , \ \ m_3 = m_3^0 - \tilde{m}_1 \ . \tag{40}$$

Thus, the model predicts the mixing matrix defined in Eq.(28). By parameterizing as $V = \mathrm{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2) V_{MNS}$, we find the MNS matrix is

$$V_{MNS} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{41}$$

where the matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, i)$ is the Majorana phase matrix.

This model predicts

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1 \ , \ \ \sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = \frac{8}{9} \ . \tag{42}$$

This mixing matrix is in contrast to the so called democratic mixing matrix[7] which predicts $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 8/9$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol} = 1$.

(b-2) The model with $m_2^0 = 0$ or $m_3^0 = 0$

One way to realize this model is to consider two Higgs model by keeping H_1 and H_2 in Eq.(20). Then, we impose $Z_3 \times Z_2$ symmetry. By the Z_3 symmetry, we have $b' = d' = f' = 0$. Then, we impose the Z_2 symmetry given in Eq.(29) and we find that $b = d = 0$. These conditions give $m_2^0 = 0$. Similarly, $m_3^0 = 0$ case is realized. Since both cases give the same mixing matrix so that we consider $m_2^0 = 0$ case. Then, the mixing angle θ' is completely fixed by the ratio of neutrino masses, $m_2 > 0$ and $m_3 < 0$. Explicitly, we find with $V_{MNS} = V_{SF}\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, i)$

$$V_{SF} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}} & \pm i\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta-2}{\beta}} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}}\left[1 \mp i\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta-2}{\beta+2}}\right] & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}\left[1 \pm i\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{(\beta+2)(\beta-2)}{\beta^2}}\right] & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1}}\left[1 \pm i\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta-2}{\beta+2}}\right] & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}\left[1 \mp i\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{(\beta+2)(\beta-2)}{\beta^2}}\right] & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{43}$$

where

$$\beta = \sqrt{\left|\frac{m_2}{m_3}\right|} + \sqrt{\left|\frac{m_3}{m_2}\right|} \geq 2 \ . \tag{44}$$

In the limit $m_2 = -m_3$, i.e., $\beta = 2$, the mixing matrix reduces to the mixing scheme in Eq.(41). Due to the CHOOZ bound, β must be close to 2.

This model predicts

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 2\theta_{sol} &= \frac{4}{9}\frac{\beta+2}{\beta} \ , \\ \sin^2 2\theta_{atm} &= \frac{4}{9}\frac{(\beta+1)(2\beta-1)}{\beta^2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

If we impose the CHOOZ bound

$$|(V_{MNS})_{13}| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta-2}{\beta}} < 0.16 \ , \tag{46}$$

β is restricted by $2 < \beta < 2.17$ which means

$$0.85 < \sin^2 2\theta_{sol} < \frac{8}{9} \ , \ \ 0.999 < \sin^2 2\theta_{atm} < 1 \ , \tag{47}$$

$$0.44 < \left|\frac{m_2}{m_3}\right| < 2.27 \ . \tag{48}$$

The effective mass for the neutrinoless double beta decay is given by

$$| < m_\nu > | = \frac{1}{3}\left|m_1 + \frac{\beta+2}{\beta}m_2 + \frac{\beta-2}{\beta}m_3\right| \sim \frac{1}{3}|m_1 + 2m_2| \ . \tag{49}$$

If we take the constraint $| < m_\nu > | < 0.3$ eV, then we find $| < m_\nu > | \sim |m_2| < 0.3$ eV for $m_1 m_2 > 0$ and $3| < m_\nu > | \sim |m_2| < 0.9$ eV for $m_1 m_2 < 0$.

In order to realize the large CP violation, it is required that the value of $|(V_{MNS})_{13}| = \sqrt{(\beta-2)/3\beta}$ should be as large as its bound by the CHOOZ data. This leads to the constraint on the ratio $|m_2/m_3|$. Explicitly, we have

$$|m_2| = h\sqrt{\frac{\Delta^2_{atm}}{|h^2-1|}}\ , \quad |m_3| = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta^2_{atm}}{|h^2-1|}}\ , \tag{50}$$

where

$$h = \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2-4}\right)\right\}^2\ . \tag{51}$$

If we take $\Delta^2_{atm} = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}\text{eV}^2$ and the maximal value $|(V_{MNS})_{13}| = 0.16$, we find $(|m_2|, |m_3|) = (6.6, 2.9) \times 10^{-2}\text{eV}$ or or$(2.9, 6.6) \times 10^{-2}\text{eV}$. When these masses are larger than the above values, the CP violation becomes smaller.

The parameter $\tilde{m}_1$ is used to fix the overall normalization of neutrino masses and m^0_3 works to adjust the ratio $|m_2/m_3|$. The remaining parameter m^0_1 determines the mass m_1, which is related to the neutrino squared mass difference for the solar neutrino mixing, $\Delta^2_{sol} \equiv |m^2_2 - m^2_1|$. Since Δ^2_{sol} is much smaller than Δ^2_{atm}, the fine tuning is required to obtain the approximate degeneracy between m_1 and m_2. The parameter m^0_1 adjusts the splitting between m_1 and m_2.

4 Summary

In our previous paper[8], we proposed the democratic-type neutrino mass matrix and showed that this model predicts the quite interesting relations which are crucial to give the large atmospheric neutrino mixing and the large CP violation which are given in Eq.(9).

In this paper, we explored the mass matrices which inherit the attractive features we found, but give more predictions. In order to examine the origin of the democratic-type mass matrix further, we considered the Yukawa interaction and the Majorana mass matrix for the right-handed neutrinos and derive the neutrino mass matrix for the left-handed neutrinos.

We gave the most general Z_3 invariant Lagrangian with three Higgs doublets and showed that this Lagrangian gives the democratic-type mass matrix. Then, we restricted the number of the Higgs doublet to be one. By introducing the Z_3 symmetry breaking terms but keeping the Z_2 symmetry, we found the interesting model that predicts the large solar neutrino mixing also. The most prominent feature of the present model is the prediction that $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm}$ is very close to unity and also the large CP violation (the CP violation phase in the standard form $\delta = \pi/2$). These two features will be tested as unambiguous signals of the model.

We expect that our mass matrix is obtained at the right-handed mass M_R scale. We did not discuss the effect of the renormalization in this paper. If $m_1 m_2 < 0$, our predictions are stable, while the substantial dependence is expected for $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol}$ for $m_1 m_2 > 0$ because of the degeneracy. The possibility that the large $\sin^2 2\theta_{sol}$ at M_R reduces to the small value which explains the small mixing angle MSW solution is under estimation and will be published elsewhere.

Acknowledgment This work is supported in part by the Japanese Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, No.11127209.

Appendix A: Derivation of Eq.(8)

Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} V &\equiv V_T O \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} O_{11}+O_{21}+O_{31} & O_{12}+O_{22}+O_{32} & O_{13}+O_{23}+O_{33} \\ \omega O_{11}+\omega^2 O_{21}+O_{31} & \omega O_{12}+\omega^2 O_{22}+O_{32} & \omega O_{13}+\omega^2 O_{23}+O_{33} \\ \omega^2 O_{11}+\omega O_{21}+O_{31} & \omega^2 O_{12}+\omega O_{22}+O_{32} & \omega^2 O_{13}+\omega O_{23}+O_{33} \end{pmatrix} , \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where V_T is the tri-maximal mixing matrix in Eq.(6) and O is the orthogonal matrix. Then, we find the constraints

$$V_{2j} = V_{3j}^* , \qquad (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{A.2}$$

because O_{ij} are real parameters. Now we define the standard form of the mixing matrix as

$$V_{SF} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{A.3}$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$ and $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$. Since V is related to V_{SF} by the phase transformation as $V = PV_{SF}P'$, the constraints are given by $|(V_{SF})_{2j}| = |(V_{SF})_{3j}|$. From the constraint with $j = 3$, we obtain $|s_{23}| = |c_{23}|$. From $j = 1$ and $j = 2$, together with $|s_{23}| = |c_{23}|$, we find $\cos\delta = 0$.

References

[1] A. Mann, talk given at *19th International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies (LP 99), Stanford, CA, 9-14 Aug 1999*;
hep-ex/9912007.

[2] Y. Suzuki, talk given at *19th International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies (LP 99), Stanford, CA, 9-14 Aug 1999.*

[3] M. Apollonio *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B466** (1999) 415.

[4] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28** (1962) 870.

[5] C. Caso *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C3** (1998) 1.

[6] F. Vissani, hep-ph/9708483;
V. Barger, S. Pakvasa, T.J. Weiler, and K. Whisnant, Phys. Lett. **B437** (1998) 107;
A.J. Baltz, A.S. Goldhaber, and M. Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 5730.

[7] H. Harari, H. Kaut and J. Weyers, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978) 459;
Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. Lett. **D39** (1989) 1391;
H. Fritzsch and Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B372** (1996) 265;
M. Fukugita, M. Tanimoto and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 4429.

[8] K. Fukuura, T. Miura, E. Takasugi and M. Yoshimura, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 073002.

[9] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Lett. **72B** (1978) 333;
L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 958;
V. Barger, K. Whisnant and R.J.N. Phillips, Phys. Rev. **D24** (1981) 538;
A. Acker, J.G. Learned, S. Pakvasa, and T.J. Weiler, Phys. Lett. **B298** (1993) 149;
R.N. Mohapatra and S. Nussinov, Phys. Lett. **B346** (1995) 75;
P.F. Harrison, D.H. Perkins and W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B349** (1995) 137; Phys. Lett. **B374** (1996) 111; Phys. Lett. **B396** (1997) 186; Phys. Lett. **B458** (1999) 79;

C. Giunti, C.W. Kim and J.D. Kim, Phys. Lett. **B352** (1995) 357;
R. Foot, R.R. Volkas and O. Yasuda, Phys. Lett. **B433** (1998) 82.

[10] S.M. Bilenky, J. Hošek and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. **94B** (1980) 495;
M. Doi, T. Kotani, H. Nishiura, K. Okuda and E. Takasugi, Phys. Lett. **102B** (1981) 323.